SAF-RC-030 Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling Other Solid FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF VALIDATION PACKAGE TO:

Jeanette Duncan (2)

H9-02

MYO 2-22 OC

COMMENTS:

SDG(K0095)

SAF-RC-030

Waste Site: 100-D-50:5



Date:

14 February 2006

To:

Washington Closure Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling - Other Solid - Waste Site

100-D-50:5

Subject: Inorganics - Data Package No. K0095-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0095 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

	iv Sample Date	in Huaja 🚧	***Validation	(Date)
J10L58	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1
J10L59	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1

^{1 -} ICP metals (6010B) and mercury (7471A).

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 28 days for mercury and 6 months for ICP metals.

All holding times were acceptable.

· Preparation (Method) Blanks

Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the contract required detection limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL) and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

All preparation blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 69% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 130% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 130% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

Due to an matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (57.9%), all antimony results

(2) 人名英格兰人姓氏克里特的变体。

were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (42.7%), all silicon results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

One set of field duplicates (J10L58/J10L59) was submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the remaining waste sites RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All selenium, cadmium and silver results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required. All other analytes met the RQL.

Completeness

Data package No. K0095 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to a matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (47.9%), all antimony results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (42.7%), all silicon results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All selenium, cadmium and silver results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

REFERENCES

WCH, Contract #20266, Validation Statement of Work, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.
 The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Summary of Data Qualification

METALS DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG Koogs	REVIEWER:	(Riðject:: 100:D-50:ទី ព	PAGE 11:0Fm
COMMENTS:		Till die de skiel bleggemein bestellt bekomme et de meerste entre mot promise bekomment voor 5,6 des biskelijk	
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Silicon	J	All	LCS recovery
Antimony	J	All	MS recovery

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Lab: LLI	SDG:	K0095							
Sample Numb	er	J10L58		J10L59					
Remarks				Duplicate					
Sample Date		11/7/05		11/7/05					
Inorganics	RQL	Result	Q		Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Silver	0.2	1.1	U	0.96	U]	T
Aluminum		5380		4780					
Arsenic	10	2.6		2.3	U				
Boron		2.1	Ü	1.8	U				
Barium	2	81.7		70.5			Τ.		Т
Beryllium		0.13		0.11			Г		Т
Calcium		8890		7680				-	Τ
Cadmium	0.2	0.53	U	0.48	U		Т		Τ
Cobalt	† "	6.5		5.4			T		Τ
Chromium	1	9.7		9.1		"	T		Т
Copper		15.4		13.4			1		Т
Iron		16900		14300			T		
Mercury	0.2	0.04		0.04			T		
Potassium		1150		1060					
Magnesium		3580		3250			T		Т
Manganese		294		262			Т		
Molybdenum		0.99	U	0.89	U		T		Т
Sodium		159		147					
Nickel		10.5		9.7			Г		Π
Lead	5	14.3		12.1					Г
Antimony		3.1	ÜJ	2.7	IJ		Τ		
Selenium	1	2.7	U	2.5	U		П		Τ"
Silicon		558	J	620	J				
Vanadium		34.3		28.6					
Zinc	1	140	Γ	119	Γ		Т		Γ

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-081-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0511L674

	•				REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	result	Units	LIMIT	FACTOR
******		· ************************************	352C#846	*====	******	
-001	J10L58	Silver, Total	1.1 u	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
		Aluminum, Total	5380	MG/KG	11.6	3.0
•	•	Arsenic, Total	2.6	MG/KG	2.6	6.0
,		Boron, Total	2.1 ย	MG/KG	2.1	6.0
*		Barium, Total	81.7	NG/KG	0.15	6.0
	```.	Beryllium, Total	0.13	MG/KG	0.08	3.0
		Calcium, Total	8890	MG/KG	9.1	6.0
	,	Cadmium, Total	0.53 u	MG/KG	0.53	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.5	ng/kg	0.92	6.0
		Chromium, Total	9.7	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
		Copper, Total	15.4	MG/KG	. 1.1	3.0
		Iron, Total	16900	MG/KG	24.5	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.04	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Potassium, Total	1150	NG/KG	42.3	€.0
		Magnesium, Total	3580	MG/KG	10.3	8.0
		Manganese, Total	294	mg/Kg	0.15	6.0
	•	Molybdenum, Total	0.99 u	MG/KG	0.99	6.0
		Sodium, Total	159	MG/KG	1.3	6.0
		Nickel, Total	10.5	MG/KG	0.99	6.0
		Lead, Total	14.3	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Antimony, Total	3.1 u*	<b>Т</b> мс/кс	3.1	6.0
		Selenium, Total	2.7 u	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Silicon, Total	558 🗊	MG/KG	6.3	6.0
	•	Vanadium, Total	34.3	MG/KG	0.69	6.0
		Zinc, Total	140	MG/KG	0.38	6.0

2/12/06

#### INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0511L674

74 -		·			REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	PACTOR
	3268888318388888888	<b>美军主要的 医克莱斯氏 医克莱斯氏 医克莱克氏</b>	C2C38##=	=====	*******	****
-002	J10L59	Silver, Total	0.96 u	MG/KG	0.96	6.0
		Aluminum, Total	4780	MG/KG	10.4	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	2,3 u	MG/KG	2.3	6.0
•		Boron, Total	1.8 u	MG/XG	1.9	6.0
		Barium, Total	70.5	MG/KG	0.14	6.0
,	•	Beryllium, Total	0.11	MG/KG	0.07	3.0
		Calcium, Total	7690	MG/KG	8.1	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.48 u	MG/KG	0.48	6.0
		Cobelt, Total	5.4	MG/KG	0.82	€.0
•		Chromium, Total	9.1	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
		Copper, Total	13.4	MG/KG	0.99	3.0
		Iron, Total	14300	MG/KG	21.9	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.04	MG/KG	. 0.02	1.0
. •		Potassium, Total	1060	MG/KG	37.8	6.0
		Magnesium, Total	3250	MG/KG	9.2	6.0
		Manganese, Total	262	MG/KG	0.14	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.89 u	MG/KG	0.89	6.0
		sodium, Total	147	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
	•	Nickel, Total	9.7	MG/KG	0.89	6.0
		Lead, Total	12.1	NG/KG	2.1	б,О
		Antimony, Total	2.7 U	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Selenium, Total	2.5 u	мо/ко	2.5	6.0
		Silicon, Total	620 🕽	MG/KG	5.6	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	28.6	MG/XG	0.61	6.0
		Zine, Total	119	MG/KG	0.34	6.0

2/12/04

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



**Analytical Report** 

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-030

LVL#: 0511L674

SDG/SAF#: K0095/RC-030

W.O.#: 11343-606-001-9999-00

Date Received: 11-09-05

#### METALS CASE NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 2 solid samples.

- 2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods checked on the attached glossary. The samples were reported with 6-fold dilutions for ICP metals due to high concentrations and sample matrix. The samples were rerun with 3-fold dilutions on a different instrument due to sample matrix.
- 3. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
- 4. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 5. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% control limits (80-120% for Mercury).
- 6. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less than the POL).
- 7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Practical Quantitation Limit (3X the IDL), or samples greater than 20X MB value}. Refer to the Inorganics Method Blank Data Summary.
- 8. All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits.
- 9. All laboratory control samples (LCS) were within the 80-120% control limits with the exception of Silicon at 42.7%. Refer to the Inorganics Laboratory Control Standards Report. Associated sample results may be biased low.
- 10. The matrix spike (MS) recoveries for 4 analytes were outside the 75-125% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Accuracy Report.
- 11. For analytes where the ICP MS is out-of-control, a post-digestion MS (PDS) and serial dilution are performed. A PDS was prepared at meaningful concentration level for the

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 18 pages.

following analytes:

		<u>PDS</u>	<u>PDS</u>
Sample ID	<b>Element</b>	Concentration (ppb)	% Recovery
J10L58	Aluminum	72,000	95.4
	Iron	120,000	108.5
	Antimony	600	105.0
	Silicon	12,600	102.7

- 12. The duplicate analyses for 4 analytes were outside the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Precision Report.
- 13. For the purposes of this report, the data has been reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). Values between the IDL and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.
- 14. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 15. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

jjw/m11-674

1/22/03



Washington Closure Hanford	CHAIN OF CUST	<u>'ODY/SAMI</u>	LE ANALYSIS		KC-030-031	1
Collector STANKOVICH/HUDSON	Company Contact Mike Stankovich	Telephone No. 531-7620		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH	Price Code 9C	Data Turnaround
Project Designation Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling - Other Solid	Sampling Location 100-D-50:5			SAF No. RC-030	Air Quality 🔲	15 Days
lee Chest No. AFS-04_120	Field Lagbook No. EL-1578	CO/ RIO	A DR16700	Method of Shipment FedEx		
Shinned To EBERLINE SERVICES / MONVILLE	Offsite Property No.	A060,10	) T	Bill of Lading/Air Bill	No. See 8	)SPC
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDSREMARKS	Preservation	None No	nne Coel 4C Cox	I 4C Cool 4C Cool 4	ic /	
Special Handling and/or Storage	Type of Container			G AG G		
Coul 4° C	No. of Container(s)	\._	1 1 mL 60mL 66	60mil 260g	<u>/</u>	
3	Volume	is See ite	n (2) is PCBs - 8082; VOA	8260A Semi-YOA - TPH/To	KM) -	
SAMPLE ANALYSIS	•		etions. Pesticides - 3031; Chloro- Herbicides - EPAS151	CL)   1270/(TCL)   /18.		
Sample No. Matrix * Sam	ple Date Sample Time					
J10L58 OTHER SOLID: ///	105 1445	>	( X			
J10L59 OTHER SOLID	165 1145		XX			
J10L80 OTHER SOLID SH 11	<u> </u>					
CHAIN OF POSSESSION S	ign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCT	IONS		Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Receive Str. Herbston Street: 11/05 1700 37	ed By/Stored in Dr. 128 BDG #28 11/7/04	ate/Time	(1) Gamma Spectroscopy (	TCL List) (Cesium-137, Coba	lt-60, Europium-152, Europium ; Americium-241; Oross Alplu r, Technetium-99; Isotopic Urar	nium (Uranium-   SI-Stolge
3.72 Ref 28 11/8/35 1000 Received From Date/Time Received	ed By/Stored in	4	233/234, Uranium-235, Uri (2) ICP Metals - 6010A (S Cadmium, Calcium, Chrom	urium-238}; Total Uranium W-846) (Aluminum, Astimony ium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Les	, Arsenic, Barium, Boryllium, E d, Magnesium, Manganese, Mo adlum, Zinc}; Mercury - 7471	Boron, A-Ak DS-Draw Solids
Relinguistical by Jacquived From Date Time Received	Keerand 11/4/05	ate/Time 0935	PRACE, I DESCRIPT, CARRA		•	T=Tipue W=Wipe i=Lipuid V=Vegention X=Chic
	CE DJI OMINO IL G	ate/Time	,			
Residualed by Removed 1 Kan					· •	Date/Tinx
LABORATORY Received By SECTION		Title				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Method DISPOSITION		<del></del>	Disposed By			Date/Time

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation** 

LEVEL:	Α	В		D	Е	
PROJECT: /	0-10-50	15	DATA PACKAG	E: k	0095	
VALIDATOR: 7		LAB: LC	T	DATE:	2/11/06	
			SDG:	Koo'	7 " 1 "	
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED			
SW-846/ICP S	W-846/GFAA <b>(</b>	SW-846/Hg	SW-846 Cyanide			
SAMPLES/MATRI	X					
JION	8 J10	D59				
·	<u> </u>	<del></del>				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	*				- 111	
DATA PAC	KAGE COMPI	LETENESS AND	CASE NARRATIV	/E	50110	
echnical verification	documentation					) _N ,
echnical verification	documentation	present?				) _N
echnical verification	documentation	present?				<u></u>
Comments:	documentation	present?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	<i></i>
Comments:  INSTRUMI nitial calibrations per	ENT PERFORM	MANCE AND CA	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	<i>J</i>
echnical verification comments:  INSTRUMI nitial calibrations per	ENT PERFORM formed on all in	MANCE AND CA	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	Ki N
Comments:  INSTRUMI  nitial calibrations per  nitial calibrations according to the comments of the comments.	ENT PERFORM formed on all inceptable?	MANCE AND CAllstruments?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	KI N N
INSTRUMI nitial calibrations acc CP interference chec CV and CCV checks	ENT PERFORM formed on all inceptable?	MANCE AND CAI	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	N N N N
INSTRUMI nitial calibrations acc CP interference chec CV and CCV checks	ENT PERFORM formed on all in ceptable? ks acceptable?	MANCE AND CAll struments?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	
INSTRUMI nitial calibrations per nitial calibrations acc CP interference chec CV and CCV checks CV and CCV checks Standards traceable?	ENT PERFORM formed on all inceptable?	MANCE AND CAll struments?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	7 7 7 7 7 7
INSTRUMI  INSTRUMI  Initial calibrations acc  CP interference chec  CV and CCV checks  CV and CCV checks  Standards traceable?	ENT PERFORM formed on all in ceptable? ks acceptable? performed on a	MANCE AND CAll struments?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	
Technical verification Comments:	ENT PERFORM formed on all in ceptable? ks acceptable? performed on a	MANCE AND CAll struments?	LIBRATIONS (Le	vels D and E)	Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A  IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A  IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A  IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A  IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A  IS/MSD standards expired? Ye	BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
boratory blanks analyzed?    Po No N/A	CB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  S/MSD samples analyzed?  (Levels C, D, E)  ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  S/MSD results acceptable?  (Levels D, E)  ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  S/MSD samples analyzed?  Yes No N/A  S/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)  Yes No N/A  S/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)  Yes No N/A  CS/BSS results acceptable?  Yes No N/A	CB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No W
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, E)	Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/A
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, E)	Laboratory blank results acceptable?	YS No N/A
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, E)	Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes No N/A
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  IS/MSD samples analyzed?  IS/MSD results acceptable?  IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)  IS/MSD standards expired? (		
ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)  IS/MSD samples analyzed?  IS/MSD results acceptable?  IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)  IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)  CS/BSS samples analyzed?  CS/BSS results acceptable?  Yes No N/A  CS/BSS results acceptable?  Yes No N/A  tandards traceable? (Levels D, E)  Tandards traceable? (Levels D, E)  Tandards expired? (Levels D, E)	Franscription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 166
IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A	Comments:	no FR
IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A		
IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A		`
IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A		
IS/MSD samples analyzed? Yes No N/A IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A		
IS/MSD results acceptable? Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) Yes No N/A Is/MSD standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A Is	4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	MS/MSD samples analyzed?	(. Yes) No N/A
IS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	MS/MSD results acceptable?	Yes N/A
IS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)  CS/BSS samples analyzed?  CS/BSS results acceptable?  Ves No N/A  tandards traceable? (Levels D, E)  ranscription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)  erformance audit sample(s) analyzed?  Yes No N/A		
CS/BSS samples analyzed?		
CS/BSS results acceptable?	LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	(es )No N/A
tandards traceable? (Levels D, E)	LCS/BSS results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
erformance audit sample (s) analyzed?	Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
erformance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
erformance audit sample results acceptable?	Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NO
erformance audit sample results acceptable?  No NA  Comments: M5 57.9 - J Guting No pts  LCS 42.7 - J gillen	Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes (N/A
comments: Ms 57.9 - Janting no pts Les 42.7 - Jaillean	Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No NA
ics 42,7 - J gilled	Comments: M5 57.9 - J Guting	no pus
<del></del> -	ics 42.7 - J gilled	
	105 74.7 - J gillian	

Yes No N/A
Yes No (V/A)
Yes No 🐼
Yes No N/A
Yes No No
<b></b>
. ^
Yes No N/N/A
Yes No N/A
Yes No N/A
Yes No N/A
Yes Nd N/A
Yes No N/A
Yes No N/A
$\overline{}$

# INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

7. FURNACE AA QUALITY CONTROL (Leveis D and E)			$\frown$
Duplicate injections performed as required?	Yes	Ng	N/A
Duplicate injection %RSD values acceptable?	Yes	Nd	N/A
Analytical spikes performed as required?	Yes	Nø	N/A
Analytical spike recoveries acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Standards traceable?	Yes	No	N/A
Standards expired?	Yes	Nþ	N/A
MSA performed as required?	Yes	Nd	N/A
MSA results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Transcription/calculation errors?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			$\mathcal{L}$
		-	
8. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)			
Samples properly preserved?	Yes	No	N/A
Sample holding times acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			
	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>		

era talia kalendari da kalendari

9. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)	
Results reported for all requested analyses?	Yes No N/A
Rresults supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Detection limits meet RDL?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)  Comments: Selenium, Cedmun + Silun - all	one
<u>'</u>	
·	

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

## INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095

LVL LOT #: 0511L674

WORK ORE	ER: 11	343-606-	001-999	9-00
----------	--------	----------	---------	------

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	PACTOR
	*************	******		****	ED#ECREES	
BLANK1	05L0657-MB1	Silver, Total	0.14 u	MG/KG	0.14	1.0
		Aluminum, Total	3.0 u	MG/KG	3.0	1.0
		Armenic, Total	. 0.34 u	MG/KG	0.34	1.0
		Boron, Total	0.27 u	KG/KG	0.27	1.0
		Barium, Total	0.02 u	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
	•	Beryllium, Total	0.02 u	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
	'	Calcium, Total	2.6	MG/KG	1.2	1.0
	•	Cadmium, Total	0.07 U	MG/KG	0.07	1.0
		Cobalt, Total	0.12 u	MG/KG	0.12	1,0
		Chromium, Total	0.16 u	MG/KG	0.16	1.0
		Copper, Total	0.29 u	MG/KG	0.29	1.0
	•	Iron, Total	3.2 u	MG/KG	3.2	1.0
		Potassium, Total	5.5 u	MG/KG	5.5	1.0
		Magnesium, Total	1.4 u	MG/KG	1.4	1.0
		Manganese, Total	0.02 u	NG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Nolybdenum, Total	0.13 u	MG/KG	3.13	1.0
	•	Sodium, Total	0.67	MG/KG	0.17	1.0
	•	Nickel, Total	0.13 u	MG/KG	0.13	1.0
		Lend, Total	0.31 u	MG/KG	0.31	1.0
		Antimony, Total	0.40 u	MG/KG	0.40	1.0
		Selenium, Total	0.59	MG/KG	0.36	1.0
		Silicon, Total	0.82 u	MG/KG	0.82	1.0
		Vanadium, Total	0.09 u	MG/KG	0.09	1.0
		Zinc, Total	0.05 u	MG/KG	0.05	1.0
BLANKI	05C0267-NB1	Mercury, Total	0.02 ს	MG/KG	0.02	1.0

#### INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0511L674

	•		SPIKED	INITIAL	SPIKED		DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	Sample	RESULT	AMOUNT !	RECOV	FACTOR (SPK)
*****	*************	ZZXZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	======	****			
-001	J10L58 '	Silver, Total	5.2	1.1 u	6.4	81.2	6.0
		Aluminum, Total	6120	5380	255	290.9*	3 0
		Arsenic, Total	246	2.6	255	95.5	6.0
		Boron, Total	126	2.1 u	1.27	99.1	6.0
		Barium, Total	324	81.7	255	95.2	6.0
		Beryllium, Total	6.1	0.13	6.4	93.3	3.0
		Calcium, Total	12500	8890	3180	113.5	6.0
	·	Cadmium, Total	5.5	0.53u	6.4	85.9	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	66.0	6.5	63.7	93.4	6.0
		Chromium, Total	35.5	9.7	25.5	101.2	€.0
		Copper, Total	43.1	15.4	31.8	87.1	3.0
		Iron, Total	16000	16900	127	-650. *	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.25	0.04	0.20	111.3	1.0
		Potassium, Total	4290	1150	3180	98.7	€.0
		Magnesium, Total	6750	3580	3180	55.4	6.0
		Manganese, Total	356	294	63.7	96.5*	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	120	0.99u	127	94.2	6.0
•		Sodium, Total	3320	159	3180	99.3	6.0
	•	Nickel, Total .	72.1	10.5	63.7	96.7	6.0
	•	Lead, Total	75.9	14.3	63.7	96.7	6.0
		Antimony, Total	36.9	3.1 u	63.7	57.9	6.0
		Selenium, Total	250	' 2.7 u	255	98.0	6.0
•		Silicon, Total	903	558	127	270.8*	€.0
		Vanadium, Total	89.5	34.3	63.7	86.7	6.0

201

Zinc, Total

#### INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095

LVL LOT #: 0511L674

WORK	OKDEK:	11343-606-001-9999-00	

	· *		INITIAL			DI	LUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	REPLICATE	RPD	FA	CTOR (REP)
		*****	*******				********
-001RBP	J10L58	Silver, Total	1.1 u	1.1 u	NC		6.0
		Aluminum, Total	5380	5470	1/7	<b>~</b> 1.7	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	2.6	2.6 u	مهاند	300, "14/02	6.0
		Boron, Total	2.1 u	2.1 u	NC	Solve 11 Mas	6.D
		Barium, Total	81.7	77.2	5.7	•	6.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.13	0.08	44.4		3.0
		Calcium, Total	8890	10600	17.6	•	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.530	0.5311	NC		6.0
	•	Cobalt, Total	6.5	5.9	9.7		6.0
		Chromium, Total	9.7	9.2	5.3		6.0
	4	Copper, Total	15.4	15.9	3.2		3.0
		Iron, Total	16900	16000	5.3		6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.04	0.04	22.2		1.0
		Potassium, Total	1150	1190	3.8	•	6.0
		Magnesium, Total	3580	3580	0.18		6.0
		Manganese, Total	294	290	1.2		6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.99u	0.99u	NC		6.0
		Sodium, Total	159	165	3.9		6.0
		Nickel, Total	10.5	9.6	6.9		6.0
		Lead, Total	14.3	14.2	0.70		6.0
		Antimony, Total	3.1 u	3.1 u	NC	~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6.0
		Selenium, Total	2.7 u	2.9		sup 11/2/ps	6.0
		Silicon, Total	558	664	17.4	4	€.0
		Vanadium, Total	34.3	32.1	6.6		6.0
		Zinc, Total	140	133	5.3		<b>6.0</b>

#### INORGANICS LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS REPORT 11/18/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0511L674

		•	SPIKED	SPIKED		
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	THUOMA	UNITS	*RECOV
	x=====================================			*****	****	
LCS1	05L0657-LC1	Silver, LCS	49.0	. 50,0	MG/KG	98.0
	•	Aluminum, LCS	477	500	MG/KG	95.4
	•	Armenic, LCS	932	1000	MG/KG	93.2
		Boron, LCS	482	500	MG/KG	96.3
•		Barium, LCS	493	500	MG/KG	98.6
		Beryllium, LCS	24.1	25.0	MG/KG	96.4
•		Calcium, LCS	2540	2500	MG/KG	101.7
		Cadmium, LCS	25.2	25.0	NG/KG	100.8
		Cobalt, LCS	248	250	MG/KG	99.1
		Chromium, LCS	50.5	50.0	MG/KG	101.0
		Copper, LCS	118	125	MG/KG	94.6
		Iron, LCS	507	500	MG/KG	101.3
		Potassium, LCS	2400	2500	MG/KG	96.1
	•	Magnesium, LCS	2450	2500	MG/KG	98.0
		Manganese, LCS	78.5	75.0	NG/KG	104.7
		Molybdenus, LCS	500	500	MG/KG	100
	•	Sodium, LCS	2420	2500	MG/KG	96.8
		Nickel, LCS	199	200	MG/KG	99.6
		Lead, LCS	250	250	MG/KG	99.8
		Antimony, LCS	295	300	MG/KG	98.2
		Selenium, LCS	901	1000	MG/KG	90.1
	•	silicon, LCS	214	500	MG/KG	42.7
		Vanadium, LCS	248	250	MG/KG	99.2
		Zinc, LCS	99.2	100	NG/KG	99.2

Date:

14 February 2006

To:

Washington Closure Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

Project:

Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling - Other Solid - Waste Site

100-D-50:5

Subject: PCB/Pesticide/Herbicide - Data Package No. K0095-LLI

## INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0095 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Same a Dis	is Samiale (Darley)	Maryal Mindia	<b>₩</b> Validation ••	men Date
J10L58	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1
J10L59	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1

^{1 -} PCBs by 8082, pesticides by 8081A and chlorinated herbicides by 8151A.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

#### DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

#### Holding Times

Sample data were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be extracted within 14 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction.

If holding times are exceeded by less than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for nondetects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were acceptable.

#### Method Blank

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation or analysis. At least one method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. Method blanks should not contain target compounds at a concentration greater than required quantitation limit (RQL). If target compounds are present, sample results less than five times the blank concentration are qualified as undetected and flagged "U". If the sample result is less than five times the blank concentration and less than RQL, the result is qualified as undetected and elevated to the RQL.

All method blank results were acceptable.

## Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

## · Accuracy

## Matrix Spike & Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data . The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected sample results with spike recoveries outside control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

Due to matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits (154% & 164%), all detected PCB results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to the lack of a matrix spike, matrix spike duplicate and LCS analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

## Surrogate Recovery

The analysis of surrogate compounds provides a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the laboratory. When a surrogate compound recovery is outside the control window, all positively identified target compounds associated with the unacceptable surrogate recoveries are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries less than the lower control limit are qualified as having an estimated detection limit and flagged "UJ". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification.

All surrogate results were acceptable.

#### Precision

## Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. For soil samples, results must be within RPD limits of plus/minus 30%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

Due to RPDs outside QC limits (44% & 43%), all PCB results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to the lack of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other precision results were acceptable.

# Field Duplicate Samples

One set of field duplicates (J10L58/J10L59) was submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

## Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the Remaining Waste Sites RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All toxaphene, dalpon, dichloroprop and 2,4-DB results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required. All other analytes met the RQL.

## · Completeness

Data Package No. K0095 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

#### MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

#### MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits (154% & 164%), all detected PCB results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Due to RPDs outside QC limits (44% & 43%), all PCB results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Due to the lack of a matrix spike, matrix spike duplicate and LCS analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All toxaphene, dalpon, dichloroprop and 2,4-DB results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### REFERENCES

WCH, Contract #20266, Validation Statement of Work, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the procedures herein are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.

  The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

# PCB/PESTICIDE/HERBICIDE DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG:Koogs:	REVIEWER TIL	Project: 100-D-50:5:	PAGE 1/10F1
COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
All PCBs	J	All detected results	MS recovery
All PCBs	J	All	RPD
Toxaphene	J	All	No MS, MSD or LCS analysis

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

_	
$\subseteq$	2
	)
	)
	ì

Project: WASHINGTON CL	OSURE	HANFORE	<u>)                                    </u>	}		
Laboratory: LLI	]					
Sample Number		J10L58		J10L59		
Remarks				Duplicate		
Sample Date		11/7/05		11/7/05		
Extraction Date		11/11/05		11/11/05		
Analysis Date		11/15/05		11/15/05		
PCB	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	
Aroclor-1016	100	91	UJ	81	IJ	
Aroclor-1221	100	91	IJ	81	IJ	
Aroclor-1232	100	91	UJ	81	UJ	
Aroclor-1242	100			81	UJ	
Aroclor-1248	100	91	υJ	81	IJ	
Aroclor-1254	100	91	UJ	81	UJ	
Aroclor-1260	100	9.4		11		
			<u> </u>			
Sample Number		J10L58		J10L59		
Remarks				Duplicate		
Sample Date		11/7/05		11/7/05		
Extraction Date		11/11/05		11/11/05		
Analysis Date		11/16/05		11/16/05		
Pesticide	RQL		Q		Q	
Alpha-BHC	5	3.6		3.2		
Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5	3.6		3.2		
Beta-BHC	5	3.6		3.2		
Heptachlor	5	3.6		3.2		
Delta-BHC	_				_	
Aldrin	5		U	3.2		
Heptachlor Epoxide	5	3.6		3.2 3.2		
	<del></del>		Ų	3.2	U	
	5	3.6 3.6 3.6	U U	3.2 3.2	טט	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan i	5	3.6 3.6	כככ	3.2	טטט	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I	5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	כככ	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	כככ	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane	5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	כככככ	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	ככככ	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I	5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	כככככ	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan l alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE	5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	כככככככ	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin	5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	כככככככ	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin	5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	כככככככ	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD Endosulfan II 4,4'-DDT	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.0		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט ט	
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD Endosulfan II	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD Endosulfan II 4,4'-DDT Endrin Aldehyde Endosulfan sulfate	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD Endosulfan II 4,4'-DDT Endrin Aldehyde Endosulfan sulfate Methoxychlor	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		
gamma-Chlordane Endosulfan I alpha-Chlordane 4,4'-DDE Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDD Endosulfan II 4,4'-DDT Endrin Aldehyde Endosulfan sulfate	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6		3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2		

	_
	-
	_
i.	؞ٙ
-	١

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD								
Laboratory: LLI	SDG: K	0095						
Sample Number		J10L58		J10L59				
Remarks				Duplicate				
Sample Date		11/7/05		11/7/05				
Extraction Date		11/11/05		11/11/05				
Analysis Date	Analysis Date			11/18/05				
Herbicides	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q			
Dalapon	100	230	U	200	υ			
Dicamba	100	91	U	81	U			
Dichloroprop	100	230	U	200	U			
2,4-D	100	45	٦	41	υ			
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	100	23	U	20	U			
2,4,5-T	100	23	υ	20	U			
2,4-DB	100	230	υ	200	U			
Dinoseb	100	23	U	20	U			
Pentachlorophenol	100	18	υ	16	U			

33

114

### Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

PCBs by GC

Report Date: 11/22/05 12:06 RFW Batch Number: 0511L674 Client: TNUEANFORD RC-030 K0095 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1 Cust ID: J10L58 J10L59 J10L59 J10L59 PBLKWY PBLKWF BS Sample RFW#: 001 002 002 MS 002 MSD 05LE0892-MB1 05LR0892-MB1 Information Matrix: SOLID SOLID SOLID SOLID SOIL SOIL D.F.: 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Units: UG/KG UG/KG UG/KG UG/KG UG/KG UG/KG Tetrachloro-m-xylene Surrogate: 84 69 ¥ 112 . & 78 * 73 67 Decachlorobiphenyl 77 73 Ł 119 80 78 86 u I Aroclor-1016 91 81 П 154 * % 98 8. 33 96 Aroclor-1221 UJ 91 U 81 81 U 81 П 33 П 33 U Aroclor-1232 Ū 3 91 81 U 81 U 81 33 П 33 U Aroclor-1242 15 81 U 81 [[ 81 U 33 33 20.0 Aroclor-1248 91 81 U 81 U 81 33 33 · T Aroclor-1254

81

11

81 U

164 * %

81 - 17

104

91

Aroclor-1260

至了

33

33

Ja .. /zell

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %- Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

## Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

Pesticide/PCBs by GC, CLP List

Report Date: 11/22/05 11:29 Client: TNUHAMFORD RC-030 K0095 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1 RFW Batch Number: 0511L674

	Cust ID:	J10L58	3	J10L5	3	J10L5	В	J10L59		PBLKWF		PBLKWF BS	
Sample	RFW#:	001	Ļ	001 M	3	001 MS	D	002		05LE0892-M	B1	05LE0892-1	mb1
Information	Matrix:	SOLID		SOLID		SOLID		SOLID		SOIL		SOIL	J
	D.F.:	. 4.0	-	4.0	00	4.4	00	4.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	00
	Units:	ŪG/I	(G	UG/I	KG	<b>UG/</b> 1	KG	UG/K	G	UG/K	G	UG/1	KG
Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	91	*	88	*	81		101	*	71	*	81	*
	Decachlorobiphenyl	96	<b>ሄ</b>	93	*	77	*	97	*	73	*	76	*
Alpha-BHC		3.6	efles: U	100	\$ **IT=	99	==fl== %	3.2	=#1: U	0.33	ET.	107	==fl %
gamma-BHC (L	indane)	3.6	Ū	105	ž	102	2	3.2	-II	0.33	U	104	
		3.6	Ū	105	è	103	· 2.	3.2	U	0.33	U	101	¥
		3,6	ΰ	113	ę.	106	*	3.2	U	0.33	U	102	ş
Delta-BHC		3.6	Ū	100	*	95	*	3.2	U	0.33	U	110	¥
Aldrin		3.6	Ū	103	ŧ	96	*	3.2	Ū	0.33	U	86	¥
Heptachlor e	poxide	3.6	U	105	*	98	*	3.2	Ū	0.33	Ū	97	*
gamma-Chlord	ane	3.6	U ·	102	8	94	*	3.2	Ū	0.33	Ū	99	8
Endosulfan I		3.6	Ū	106	*	99	¥	3.2	Ų	0.33	ט	98	*
_ alpha-Chlord	ane	3.6	U	104	ŧ	97	` <b>%</b>	3.2	U	0.33	U	98	ş
4,4'-DDB		3.6	Ω	110	*	102	*	3.2	Ū	0.33	U	106	¥
ZDieldrin		3.6	ប	1041	ŧ	97	*	3.2	Ū	0.33	Ū	101	ક
Endrin		3.6	Ū	111	*	103	*	3.2	U	0.33	U	101	ę.
4,4'-DDD		3.6	U	136	* *	124 '	* %	3.2	Ū	0.33	Ū		* %
ے Bndosulfan I	I	3.6	U.	118	¥	108	ł	3.2	Ū	0.33	U	116	*
4,4'-DDT		4.0		92	*	83	*	3.1	J	0.33	Ü	104	8
Endrin aldeh	yde	3.6	Ū	. 99	*	94	<del>የ</del>	3.2	Ü	0.33	Ū	90	8
Endosulfan s	ulfate	3.6	υ	99	¥	93	¥	3.2	Ü	0.33	Ŭ	93	ę.
Methoxychlor		3.6	Ω	108	*	96	ę.	3.2	Ū	0.33	Ū	96	*
Endrin keton	e	3.6	U	98	¥	92	*	3.2	Ū.	0.33	U	87	*
		36	UJ	36	U	36	U	32	U :		U	3.3	Ü

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

## LIGHTILE LEGGRATORY, Inc.

RPW Batch Number: 0511L674

Pentachlorophenol

Herbicides, Special List

Client: TNUHANFORD RC-030 K0095 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1 Cust ID: J10L58 J10L58 J10L58 J10L59 PBLKWJ PBLKWJ BS Sample RFW#: 001 001 MS 001 MSD 002 05LE0904-MB1 05LE0904-MB1 Information Matrix: SOLID SOLID SOLID SOLID SOIL SOIL D.F.: 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Units: ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg Surrogate: DCAA 81 * 88 89 48 :#####fl======####fl===#### -f1 Dalapon 230 U 86 99 200 U 170 U 32 Dicamba 91 U 67 ł 68 81 U 67 U 32 Dichloroprop 230 U 88 ¥ 89 ŧ. 200 U 170 U 45 2,4-D 45 U 96 * 87 41 U 33 U 41 2.4.5-TP (Silvex) 23 92 IJ 92 20 U 17 17 51 2,4,5-T 23 U 89 91 20 U 17 · U 46 2,4-DB 230 Ŭ 104 112 200 U 170 IJ 63 Dinoseb 23 106 113 20 U 17 U 103

112.

*

18

U

116

16 U

13 U

54

Report Date: 11/28/05 14:11

U* Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP OC

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



#### Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-030

**LVL #:** 0511L674

SDG/SAF # K0095/RC-030

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00 Date Received: 11-09-2005

#### **PCB**

Two (2) solid samples were collected on 11-07-2005.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 11-11-2005 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 11-16-2005. The extraction procedure was based on method 3540C and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8082.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. The samples were extracted and analyzed within required holding time.
- 3. Samples and their associated QC samples received Copper-Sulfur and Sulfuric Acid cleanups according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846 methods 3660A and 3665A respectively.
- 4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 5. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. The blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 7. Two (2) of four (4) matrix spike recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR #05GC537) has been enclosed.
- 8. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 9. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 10. Patterns for Aroclors 1242, 1260 were identified in these samples. The reported Aroclors were chosen based on the best pattern match and fit. Quantitation was performed using congeners common to both Aroclors to give the best overall total PCB concentration.
- 11. Copies of the following SDR's are associated with this narrative, 05GC530 and 05GC537.
- 12. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 13. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

kim\tau.\text{group\data\pest\text{tmu\ hanford\0511-674.pcbs}} The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 9 pages.

Lionville Laboratory Sample D	iscrepancy Report (SDR) SDR #: 656.530
Initiator: OR Batch:  Date: 1/2/05 Samples  Client: OR Method:	S: Matrix:
Client: Method:  1. Reason for SDR	SW846MCAWW/CLP/ Prep Batch:
a. COC Discrepancy Tech Profile Error Transcription Error	_ Client Request Sampler Error on C-O-C Other
b. General Discrepancy Missing Sample/ExtractContainer BrokeHold Time ExceededInsufficient SamImproper Bottle TypeNot Amenable to	nple Preservation Wrong Received Past Hold to Analysis
Note : Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (circle)signature/d	
COPY OF SIDE WITH OR HELDY WISH SIDS.	ach data if necessary TOB ILLO ICAL ANALYZED LIGHTLA & CUTIVE WHOLF EXPREDION IN 105 OLITICAL MAINDRAINA ZAILY STABLE. NO DAYA IMPACTED SIGNIFICANTY
2. Known or Probable Causes(s)	
	·
Re-logEntire BatchFollowing Samples: Re-leachRe-extractRe-digestRevise EDDChange Test Code toPlace On/Take Off Hold (circle)	
Project Manager Instructionssignature/date:      Concur with Proposed Action     Disagree with Proposed Action; See Instruction Include in Case Narrative	·
Client Contacted: Date/Person Add	
Cancel	
5. Final Actionsignature/date:  Verified re-[log][leach][extract][digest][analysis] of Included in Case Narrative  Hard Copy COC Revised  Electronic COC Revised  EDD Corrections Completed	(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
When Final Action has been recorded, forward original	ginal to QA Specialist for distribution and filling.
Route Distribution of Completed SDR  X Initiator X Lab General Manager: M. Taylor X Project Mgr. Stone/Johnson Data Management: Stilwell Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger	Route Distribution of Completed SDR Metals: BeegleInorganic: PerroneGC/LC: KigerMS: Rychlak/DaleyLog-in: PerryAdmin:Other:

QA-105-A-0805

Lionville Laboratory S	ample Discrepancy Repo	rt (SDR) SDR#: 056C537
Initiator: John Lach	Batch: OSILGTY	Parameter: Pcs
Date: 11/22105	Samples: 25	Matrix: Sola,
Client: +vu	Method: sws4s/MCAWW/CLP/	Prep Batch: OTLEOKL
•	<u> </u>	
Reason for SDR     a. COC Discrepancy Tech Profile	e Error Client Request	Sampler Error on C-O-C
Transcriptio		Other
b. General Discrepancy		
Missing Sample/Extract Co		Sample Pulled Label ID's Illegible
	sufficient Sample Preserv It Amenable to Analysis	ation Wrong Received Past Hold
Note*: Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (circ		
c. Problem (Include all relevant specif		
Aruclar lule elemente O 1	The sum of the state of the sta	
Aruciar lote electre of	24 12 12 42 11 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13	
Aroclor 1260 elevenz @	ichall in the turn tack they	<b>**</b>
2. Known or Probable Causes(s)		
2. Known of Probable Causes(s)		•
·		
	•	
3. Discussion and Proposed Action	Other Description:	
Re-log		nothing in oc sample.
Entire Batch Following Samples:	water the	70,411
Re-leach	- above to reportin	Linkt , Te mook
Re-extract	Bs we incomin	1.
Re-digest Revise EDD	()	
Change Test Code to		•
Plage On/Take Off Hold (circle)		1 1 -
4. Project Manager Instructionssignal	ture/date.	000
/Concur with Proposed Action	41	
Disagree with Proposed Action; Se Include in Case Narrative	e Instruction	
Client Contacted:		
Date/Person		
Add Cancel		
5. Final Actionsignature/date: ^ " Verified re-[log][leach][extract][diges	Other Expla	nation:
included in Case Narrative	ulanaysisj (circie)	
Hard Copy COC Revised	•	
Electronic COC Revised		
EDD Corrections Completed	forward artification of our state of	
When Final Action has been recorded	···	
Route Distribution of <u>Completed</u> SDR  X Initiator		tion of <u>Completed</u> SDR
X Lab General Manager: M. Ta		ils: Beegle janic: Perrone
X Project Mgr. Stone/Johnson		.C: Kiger
Data Management: Stilwell	MS:	Rychlak/Daley
Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger	Log- Adm	in: Perry
	Adm	



#### Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-030

LVL#: 0511L674

**SDG/SAF** # K0095/RC-030

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00 Date Received: 11-09-2005

## **Chlorinated Pesticides**

Two (2) soil samples were collected on 11-07-2005.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 11-11-2005 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 11-15-2005. The extraction procedure was based on method 3540C and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8081A.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. All required holding times for extraction and analysis have been met.
- 3. Samples and their associated QC samples received Copper-Sulfur cleanups according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846 methods 3660A respectively.
- 4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 5. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. One (1) of twenty (20) blank spike recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR # 05GC535) has been enclosed.
- 7. Two (2) of forty (40) matrix spike recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR 05GC535) has been enclosed.
- 8. All samples required a 4-fold instrument dilutions due to matrix.
- 9. Copies of the following SDR's are associated with this Narrative, 05GC523 and 05GC535
- 10. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 11. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 12. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 13. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

Date

kim\r:\group\data\pest\tnu hanford\0511-677.pest

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 8 pages.

OCOCO

Lionville Laboratory	eample Discrepancy Repor	
A TOTAL A STATE OF THE STATE OF	2 11 1 124 1 2	Juliate.
Initiator: John Lach	Batch: 0511 L 674, 675	Parameter: So Pestalin
Date: 11/22/19	Samples: 65, ms 1 ms D	Matrix:
Client:	Method: <u>sw846/MCAWW/CLP/</u>	Prep Batch: <u>১১८৫০ রং</u> ১
1. Reason for SDR	L. Farrer	
a. COC Discrepancy Tech Profi Transcripti		Sampler Error on C-O-C Other
b. General Discrepancy	on Liver valuing rest code	
	ontainer Broken Wrong S	ample Pulled Label ID's Illegible
		ation Wrong Received Past Hold
Improper Bottle Type N	ot Amenable to Analysis	
Note*: Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (clr	cle)signature/date;	
c. Problem (Include all relevant speci	fic results; attach data if necessary)	
Blank Spike elevated t		
matrix ac elevated	Ar some compounds.	
2. Known or Probable Causes(s)	·	
,		•
		•
3. Discussion and Proposed Action	Other Description:	
Re-log	Alamere Ale hitt	were found for companies
Entire Batch Following Samples:		
Re-leach	- Elevates, te ab	71.12 to Detect Mose
Re-extract	Compounds was	s not dominished
Re-digest	$\wedge$	
Revise EDD Change Test Code to		
Place On/Take Off Hold (circle)		1 1
4. Project Manager Instructionssign	ottural/dota: 12 5 17 17	1 72411
Concur with Proposed Action	stories cause.	<u> </u>
Disagree with Proposed Action; S	ee instruction	-
Include in Case Narrative Client Contacted:		
Date/Person		•
Add		
Cancel		
	112(-f Other Explai	nation:
	st][analysis] (circle)	
✓Included in Case Narrative  Hard Copy COC Revised		
Electronic COC Revised		
EDD Corrections Completed		
When Final Action has been recorder	l, forward original to QA Specialist fo	r distribution and filing.
Route Distribution of Completed SDR		ion of Completed SDR
X Initiator	Meta	ls: Beegle
X Lab General Manager: M. To X Project Mgr. Stone/Johnson		anic; Perrone C: Kiger
Data Management: Stilwell		c: ruger Rychlak/Daley
Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger		n: Perry
	Admi	



#### Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-030

LVL#: 0511L674

SDG/SAF # K0095/RC-030

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00 Date Received: 11-09-2005

#### HERBICIDE

Two (2) solid samples were collected on 11-07-2005.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 11-15-2005 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 11-18-2005. The extraction and analysis procedures were based on method 8151A.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. Samples were extracted and analyzed within required holding time.
- 3. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 4. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 5. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 7. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 8. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 9. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 10. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

som\r:\group\data\herb\mu\0511-674 doc

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 7 pages.

000021

Washington Closur	e Hanford	C	HAIN OF CUST	CODY/S	AMPLE	ANAL	<u>YSIS</u>	<b>REQUES</b>	r	RC	-030-031	Page 1	of T
Collector STANKOVICH/HUDSON			peny Contact ike Stankovich	Telephoi 531-70				Project Coord KESSNER, JH	nator	Price Code	9C		racround
Project Designation Remaining Sites Confirmation	n Sampling - Other Soli		oling Location 0-D-50:5					SAF No. RC-030		Air Quality	7 🗇	15	Days
lee Chest No. 4FS	-04_120		i Laghook No. 1578	·	COA RIODRIO	700		Method of Shi FedEx	oment .				
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES / 28	ONVILLE	Offsi	ite Property No.	AOL	>108			Bill of Lading	Air Bill i	ve. S	دو ۵	SPC	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA	RDS/REMARKS			None	None	Cool 4C	Cool	4C Coul 4C	Cool 40	. V			
NonRed			Preservation	G/P	G/P	aG	. 0		G	A	<del> </del>		
Special Handling and/or S			Type of Container	J.	-	1	-	/   ~ /		/	<del> </del>	<del> </del> -	
Cool 4°6			No. of Container(s)	30/	60mL	60mL	40.4	1 10-1	7		ļ	ļ	<b> </b>
			Volume	300		COME	B	tues of	17/18	<u>S</u>			ļ
00022	SAMPLE ANAL	YSIS		Social in Social in Social Institutions.	See item (2) in Special trastructions	PCBs - 8082; Pasticides - 8081; Cidoro- Herbicides - EPAS151	VOA) E		TPH Tota	0			
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time						直線				
J10L58	OTHER SOLID	11/2/05	1445	<b> </b>	X	×			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
J10L59	OTHER SOLID	11/7/05	<del></del>	-	X	X					<u> </u>		
J10L60	OTHER SOLID	134 ilJolas			-	· ·			<del> </del>	<del>-</del>		-	
										<del></del>	1	<u>                                     </u>	
CHAIN OF POSSESSIO	N	Sign/Pri	nt Names		SPEC	JAL INSTR	UCTIO	ONS	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		Matrix *
Refinquished By/Removed From  BLUL HUDSON SHUL  Refinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time  Date/Time		8 4 28 11 loc	ite/Time	Euro;	oicum-155]; Gun	nını Sper	CU List) (Cesium 1: c - Add-on (Americ c; Strontium 89,90 -	um-24(): /	Americium-241: (	irose Aloba & (	Bross Beta:	5-Sali 3 <i>R-Salicaene</i> SO-Salid
3728 Ref 28	11 7 35 1000 Date/Time	Received By/Sto	ored In De	OS (	<u> </u>	34, Uranium-23 CP Metals - 601	IS, Umni .QA (SW	ism-238); Total Um -846) {Alisminum, / m, Cobalt, Copper, l	nium Antimony, A	Arsenic, Barium, I	Bervilium, Boso	<b>T.</b>	SI-States W = Water O-Qil A-Air
Relinguished By Removed From Relinguished By Jacob Ved From	11(8/05 100 Date/Time 149/05 0735	Received 57/Str		nte/Time	Nicki	el, Potassnum, Se	elenium,	Silicon, Silver, Sod	um, Vanad	ium, Zine); Mere	wy - 7471 - (C	V)	019-Drum Selidu DL-Drum Liquida T-Tiome WI-Wipe
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Str	pred in Da	te/Time									L-Liquid V=Vegalation X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto	ored la Du	te/Time							•	·	
LABORATORY Received By SECTION				Tit	le			<del></del>			D	ate/Time	<del>'</del>
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Med DISPOSITION	thod				•	Dispos	sed By				I	Date/Time	

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation** 

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В		D	E
PROJECT:	00-0+50	5	DATA PACKAG	E: 14009	5
VALIDATOR:	すけ	LAB: LL	$\mathcal{C}$	DATE: 2	11/06
			SDG:	C0095	
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED		
SW-846 8081	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)	SW-846 8082	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)	8/5/A	
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX				
JIOL	57 JI	o £ 5 9		<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		<del></del>		
<u> </u>					sul.d
<u> </u>				······	
	ion documentation		CASE NARRATIV		Yes 😡 N/A
					1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100
2. INSTRU	MENT PERFORM	IANCE AND CAL	IBRATIONS (Lev	els D and E)	
Initial calibrations	acceptable?			***************************************	Yes No N/A
Continuing calibra	tions acceptable?	•••••			Yes No N/A
Standards traceable	∍?		•••••		Yes No N/A
•					1 1
	-				
	•				Yes Nd N/A
Comments:					

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	~
Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/)
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	
Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes (No) N/A
Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes No N/
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (NA
Comments:	
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
Surrogates analyzed?	
Surrogate recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	(Yes) No N/A
MS/MSD results acceptable?	
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 🕡
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	YS No N/A
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 🕡
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes N/A
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No MA
Comments: no toxophere MS, MSD or L	cs - 5 my
7CB 154+164 - Jale	deter (3)
	no Pas

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		1	H	6
	icate RPD values acceptable?			(Yes)	100 N/A
Dupli	icate results acceptable?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2/10	A. Ys	N/A
	ASD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)				No (N/A)
MS/N	MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)		•••••	Yes	No (N/A)
Field	duplicate RPD values acceptable?	•••••		(Ye)	No N/A
Field	split RPD values acceptable?			Yes	No (N)
Trans Comi	ments: PCB YY - 437.	RPD	-Jale	Yes	No (NAA
6. Chro	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E) matographic performance acceptable?			Yes	No MA
Posit	ive results resolved acceptably?		•••••	Yes	No N/A
Com	ments:				$\underline{}$
			·		<u> </u>
7.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)			$\sim$	
-	ples properly preserved?				No N/A
Samp	ple holding times acceptable?	***************************************		Yes	No N/A
Com	ments:				
				<u></u>	

Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
	Yes No N
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E)	\ /
Results reported for all requested analyses?	
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	\ /
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	
Detection limits meet RDL?	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)	
Fluoricil ® (or other absorbent) cleanup performed?	Yes No
Lot check performed?	Yes No N
Check recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N
GPC cleanup performed?	Yes No N
GPC check performed?	Yes No N
GPC check recoveries acceptable?	T T
GPC calibration performed?	Yes No N
GPC calibration check performed?	1
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable?	Yes No N
Check/calibration materials traceable?	Yes No N/
Check/calibration materials Expired?	
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup?	•
Transcription/Calculation Errors?	•
Comments:	

Date:

14 February 2006

To:

Washington Closure Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling - Other Solid - Waste Site

Subject: Radiochemistry - Data Package No. K0095-EB

## INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0095 prepared by Eberline Services. (EB). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

. KSantilelidie	e Saintella Garca.	MARKANISTI S	• Validation	Fig. : Date:
J10L58	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1
J10L59	11/7/05	Solid	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Gross alpha/beta, total uranium and gamma spectroscopy.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Data Requested by Client

#### DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

#### **Holding Times**

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analysis is 6 months.

All holding times were acceptable.

## · Preparation (Method) Blanks

#### Laboratory Blanks

Blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the minimum detectable activity (MDA), the following qualifiers are applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J"; sample results below the MDA are qualified as undetected and flagged "U"; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All blank results were acceptable.

## Field (Equipment) Blank

No equipment blanks were submitted for analysis.

### · Accuracy

Accuracy is evaluated from laboratory control sample (LCS) or blank spike sample (BSS) batch samples and spiked samples from the analytical batch. Measured activities are compared to the known added amounts. The acceptable LCS or BSS and matrix spike (MS) recovery range is 70-130%. In addition, samples may be spiked with a radiochemical tracer to assist in isolating the radioisotope of interest with the yield of the tracer being used in calculating sample activity. The acceptable range for tracer recovery is 20% to 105%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges result in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample. Results are rejected for LCS/BSS recoveries of less than 30% and tracer recoveries of less than 20%, and tracer recoveries of greater than 115% for detected results.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

#### Laboratory Duplicates

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the contract required detection limit (CRDL) and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If

either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

Due to an RPD outside QC limits (58%), all thorium-232 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other duplicate results were acceptable.

## Field Duplicates

One set of field duplicates (J10L58/J10L59) was submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

#### Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels for undetected analytes are compared against the remaining waste sites RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. Ten analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### Completeness

Data package No. K0095 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

#### MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

#### MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to an RPD outside QC limits (58%), all thorium-232 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

Ten analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### REFERENCES

WCH, Contract #20266, Validation Statement of Work, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the BHI statement of work are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

## RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG 140095.	REVEWER:	Project 100°D 50.51	PAGE 11. OF 1
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Thorium-232	J	All	RPD

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports** 

Project: WASHINGTON C									
Laboratory: EB	SDG:	K0095			•				
Sample Number		J10L58		J10L59				T	
Remarks				Duplicate					
Sample Date		11/7/05		11/7/05					
Radiochemistry	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Gross Alpha		6.39		4.73					
Gross Beta		14.6		13.4					
Total uranium (ug/g)		0.870		0.852					
Potassium-40		9.26		9.42			1		
Cobalt 60	0.05	U	U*	U	U*				
Cesium 137	0.05	U	U*	U	U*				
Radium-226	1.	0.413		0.440			· · · ·		
Radium-228		0.729		0.292	U				
Europium 152	0.1	U	U*	U	U*				
Europium 154	0.1	U	U*	U	U*				
Europium 155	0.1	U	U*	U	U*				
Thorium-228		0.550		0.397					
Thorium-232		0.729	J	0.292	J				
Uranium-235(gea)		U	U	U	U				
Uranium-238(gea)		U	U	U	U		,		
Americium-241(gea)		Ū	U	U	U				

^{* -} RQL exceeded

## EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0095

R511108-01

#### DATA SHEET

J10L58

1	7771 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG_K0095
Lab sample id Dept sample id Received % solids	7771-001 11/09/05	Client sample id Location/Matrix Collected/Weight Custody/SAF No	100-D-50:5 11/07/05 14:45 62	SOLID 2 q 30

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Gross Alpha	12587-46-1	6.39	3.2	3.4	10	- <del> </del>	93A
Gross Beta	12587-47-2	14.6	4.0	5.5	15		93B
Total Uranium (ug/g)	7440-61-1	0.870	0.10	0.019	1.0		U_T
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	9.26	1.4	0.93			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	Ū		0.093	.0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	U		0.091	0.10	U	GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.413	0.19	0.15	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	0.729	0.39	_ 0.35	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	ט י		0.23	0.10	ซ	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	Ü.	·	0.31	0.10	บ	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	σ		0.18	0.10	บ	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.550	0.11	0.11			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.729	0.39	0.35		<b>J</b>	GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.28		บ	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		10		n .	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.16		U	GAM

Remain.Sites Confirm.Samp. - O.Solid

2/12/04

Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 10

Lab id EBRLNE
Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-DS
Version 3.06
Report date 11/30/05

## EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0095

R511108-02

## DATA SHEET

J10L59

	7771 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG_K0095
		Client sample id Location/Matrix Collected/Weight Custody/SAF No	100-D-50:5 11/07/05 14:45 66	SOLID 1 q 30

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Gross Alpha	12587-46-1	4.73	2.7	2.9	10		93A
Gross Beta	12587-47-2	13.4	3.9	5.3	15		93B
Total Uranium (ug/g)	7440-61-1	0.852	0.097	0.019	1.0		U_T
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	9.42	2.3	0.63			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U U		0.073	0.050	ប	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	U		0.16	0.10	ប	GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.440	0.12	0.10	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	0.292	0.21	0.25	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.15	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U ·		0.19	0.10	Ū	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	Ţ		0.15	0.10	บ	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.397	0.091	0.097			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.292	0.21	0.25		J	GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	ט		0.20		ບັ	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	ש		7.5		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	Ū		0.21		υ	GAM

Remain.Sites Confirm.Samp. - O.Solid

2/12/04

DATA SHEETS
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 11

Lab id EBRLNE
Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-DS
Version 3.06
Report date 11/30/05

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

**Case Narrative** 

Page 1 of 1

#### 1.0 GENERAL

Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) Sample Delivery Group K0095 was composed of two other solid samples designated under SAF No. RC-030 with a Project Designation of: Remaining Sites Confirmation Sampling – Other Solid. The Sampling Location was 100-D-50:5.

The samples were received as stated on the Chain-of-Custody document. Any discrepancies are noted on the Eberline Services Sample Receipt Checklist. The results were transmitted to WCH via e-mail on November 30, 2005.

#### 2.0 ANALYSIS NOTES

2.1 Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Analysis

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.2 Total Uranium Analysis

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.3 Gamma Spectroscopy

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

#### **Case Narrative Certification Statement**

"I certify that this data package is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data obtained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature."

Melissa C. Mannion

Senior Program Manager

Date

12/07/05

Washington Clos	ure Hanford	CI	IAIN OF CUST	ODY/S	AMPLI	E ANAL	YSIS	REQUEST	r	RC	-030-031	Page 1	of <u>1</u>
Collector STANKOVICH/HUDSON			nnv Contact e Stankovich	Telephoi 531-70				Project Coordi KESSNER, JH	nator	Price Code	9C		rnaround
Profect Designation Remaining Sites Confirms		lid 100-	Ing Location -D-50:5	0095	(777	<i>(</i> )		SAF No. RC-030		Air Quality		15	Days
Ice Chest No. DAS	- AFS-04-	OS 7 Field I	Logbook No. 1578		COA RIODRIG	700		Method of Shir FedEx	ment		·		
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES		Offsite	Property No.	A06	008	8		Bill of Lading	Air Bill N	۱٥. چر	=e 0	೯₽ <u>८</u>	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HA	ZARDS/REMARKS					<b>V</b>	1/	1	17	V	Ţ <u></u>	T	Ţ
None	ليد	:	Preservation	None	None	Coal 4C	Cool 4		Cool 4C	1			
Special Handling and/o	r Storage	•	Type of Container	G/P	G/P	aG /	G	aG	G /G	/			
2000015			No. of Container(s)	j	100	Hud	2507	人 1117	107				
2			Volume	500mL	60mL	60ml	60m	L 60mI/	250thL				
0	-			See item (1) in Special	Special	Pestfeides -	VOA 82		TPH Total	n -		<del>                                     </del>	
<u> </u>	SAMPLE ANA	LYSIS	·	Instructions.	Instructions.	808 ; Chloro- Herbicides - EPA8151	17		/				
, cu					/		/		/				
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time			1 2 48 5				. Bug regen			
J10L58	OTHER SOLID	11/7/05	11445	X	-							* ************************************	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
J10L59	OTHER SOLID	11/7/05	1445	X									
J10L60	OTHER SOLID	34 117los											
ļ									ļ 	_			ļ
GIV IN OR ROSERS		51. 70.1.					<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
CHAIN OF POSSESS Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Sign/Print Received By/Ston		ate/Time	SPE	CIAL INSTR	RUCTIO	NS	-				Matrix *
Bic Hubson Blue				Goni ?	(1) ( Euro	Samma Spectros pium-155}; <del>Gar</del>	scopy (TC	L List) {Cesium-13 —Add-on-{Anærici	7, Cobalt-6	i0, Europium-152 <del>mericium 241</del> : G	, Europium-154 iross Alpha & (	4, Gross Beta:	S=Soil SE=Sediment SO=Solid
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time にしなりづか しつで	Received By/Ston	7.7.	ate/Time	Niok	ol 63; Isotopic I	Platonium	, Strontium 89,00 Im 238); Total Ura	Total Sr; T	echnetium 09; Is	otopic Uraniun	<del>Uranium</del>	SI=Sludge W = Water
Relinquished By/Removed From	il [%   35   130 Date/Time	Received By/Ston		os (5 ate/Time	(2) 1	CP Metals - 60	10A (SW-	846) (Aluminum, A	atimony, A				Q=Oil A=Air
D 5+ 30h~	11/8/05 10		ed er		Nick			n, Cobalt, Copper, I Silicon, Silver, Sodi					DS=Drum Solids DL=Drum Liquids
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date Time	Received By/Ston	ed in Da	of q	22		•						T=Tistuc W1=Wipe
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date Time	Received By/Ston		nte/Time	700						•		L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
rentiquence by renoved 1 toni		Received Dy/Salit		· .									X-Old
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Ston	ed In Da	ite/Time		-							
LABORATORY Received SECTION	Ву		·	Titl	e	· · · · · ·	<del></del>			· · · · · ·	D	ate/Time	1
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal DISPOSITION	Method				•	Dispos	sed By				<u> </u>	Date/Time	
<u> </u>					•			<del> </del>					

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation** 

# APPENDIX A RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	(c	3	D	E
PROJECT:	100- D-30	: 5	DATA PA	CKAGE:	Koo	9.5
VALIDATOR:	TLE	LAB:	ß	DATE:	2	11./00
	•		SDG:		982	
Gross Alpha/Beta	Strontium-90	ANALYS Technetium-99	ES PERFORME		ectroscopy	1
Total Uranium	Radium-22	Tritium				
1. Completene: Technical verification:	ss	resent?				6
2. Initial Calib	`	•				Yes No N/A
Initial calibration	on acceptable?					Yes No N/A
Standards NIST	Γ traceable?					Yes No N/A
Standards Expi	red?	***********				Yes No N/A
-						Yes No N/A
Comments:						
	··					·

3. Continuing Calibration (Levels D, E)	N/A
Calibration checked within required frequency?	Yes No N/A
Calibration check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Calibration check standards traceable?	Yes No N/A
Calibration check standards expired?	Yes No N/A
Calculation check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
4. Background Counts (Levels D, E)	N/A
Background Counts checked within required frequency?	Yes No N/A
Background Counts acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Calculation check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	

*	
5. Blanks (Levels B, C, D, E)	□ N/A
Method blank analyzed within required frequency?	Yes No N/A
Method blank results acceptable?	Ye No N/A
Analytes detected in method blank?	
Field blank(s) analyzed?	<b>———</b>
Field blank results acceptable?	
Analytes detected in field blank(s)?	
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	$\overline{}$
Comments:	, (B.,
·	
6. Laboratory Control Samples or Blank Spike Samples (Levels C	C, D, E) 🗆 N/A
LCS /BSS analyzed within required frequency?	Yes No N/A
LCS/BSS recoveries acceptable?	
LCS/BSS traceable? (Levels D,E)	Yes No(N/A)
LCS/BSS expired? (Levels D,E)	Yes No (N/A)
LCS/BSS levels correct? (Levels D,E)	Yes No
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes N(N)A
Comments:	
7. Chemical Carrier Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	
Chemical carrier added?	Yes No N/A
Chemical recovery acceptable?	
Chemical carrier traceable? (Levels D, E)	

and the second of the second o

Chemical carrier expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
9 Tracer Decovery (Levels C. D. E.)	
8. Tracer Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	⊔ N/A
Tracer added?	Ye No N/A
Tracer recovery acceptable?	
Tracer traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/
Tracer expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 🕡
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
	1
9. Matrix Spikes (Levels C, D, E)	N/A
Matrix spike analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Spike recoveries acceptable?	
Spike source traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Spike source expired? Levels D, E)	
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	

10. Duplicates (Levels C, D, E)	🗖 N/A
Duplicates Analyzed at required frequency?	Yes No N/A
RPD Values Acceptable?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	
11 Field OC Samples (Lavels C. D. F.)	
11. Field QC Samples (Levels C, D E)	
Field duplicate sample(s) analyzed?	
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	
Field split sample(s) analyzed?	$\sim$
Field split RPD values acceptable?	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	•
Comments:	no \$5 or PAS
12. Holding Times (All levels)	~
Are sample holding times acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	

13. Results and Detection Limits (All Levels)	🗆 N/A
Results reported for all required sample analyses?  Results supported in raw data?(Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A
Results Acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
MDA's meet required detection limits?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments: (O oue	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

## EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0095

R511108-04

## METHOD BLANK

Method Blank

SDG <u>7771</u> Contact <u>Melis</u>	Client/Case no sa C. Mannion Contract	SDG_K0095
Lab sample id <u>R5111</u> Dept sample id <u>7771</u> -	004 Material/Matrix	SOLID

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	Quali- Fiers	TEST
Gross Alpha	12587-46-1	-0.696	1.7	4.2	10	ט	93A
Gross Beta	12587-47-2	-0.637	3.4	6.0	15	บ	93B
Total Uranium (ug/g)	7440-61-1	. 0	0.008	0.019	1.0	U	UT
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	U -		0.49		บ	GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	υ		0.053	0.050	บ	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	Ū		0.048	0.10	U	GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	ប		0.086	0.10	Ü	GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	Ü		0.18	0.20	U	GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	ע		0.11	0.10	Ü	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.16	0.10	ττ	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16 <b>-</b> 3	บ		0.080	0.10	บ	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	บ		0.052		Ü	GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	Ū		0.18		Ü -	GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.13	•	บ	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		5.9		Ü	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	ט		0.074		Ū	GAM

Remain.Sites Confirm.Samp. - O.Solid

$\Omega$ C ~	TRI.ANK	#55	10 O O

METHOD BLANKS
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 7

Lab id EBRLNE
Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-DS
Version 3.06
Report date 11/30/05

## EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0095

R511108-03

#### LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Control Sample

SDG 7771 Contact Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u> <u>SDG K0095</u> Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Lab sample id <u>R511108-03</u>	Client sample id Lab Control Sample
Dept sample id 7771-003	Material/MatrixSOLID
	SAF No <u>RC-030</u>

ANALYTE	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	ADDED pCi/g	20 BRR pCi/g	REC	3σ LMTS (TOTAL)	PROTOCOL LIMITS
Gross Alpha	261	20	4.0	10		93A	214	8.6	122	60-140	70-130
Gross Beta	208	11	5.6	15		93B	198	7.9	105	74-126	70-130
Total Uranium (ug/g)	32.4	3.8	0.19	1.0		U_T	33.0	1.3	98	77-123	80-120
Cobalt 60	1.64	0.12	0.056	0.050		GAM	1.53	0.061	107	72-128	80-120
Cesium 137	1.55	0.094	0.065	0.10		GAM	1.52	0.061	102	75-125	80-120

Remain.Sites Confirm.Samp. - O.Solid

QC-LCS #55089			

LAB CONTROL SAMPLES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 8

## EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0095

R511108~05

#### DUPLICATE

J10L58

SDG <u>7771</u>		Client/Case no	Hanford SDG K0095
Contact Melissa C. Mannion	•	Contract	No. 630
DUPLICATE	ORIGINAL		
Lab sample id <u>R511108-05</u>	Lab sample id R511108-01	Client sample id	J10L58
Dept sample id 7771-005	Dept sample id 7771-001	Location/Matrix	100-D-50:5 SOLID
	Received <u>11/09/05</u>	Collected/Weight	11/07/05 14:45 622 q
% solids <u>100.0</u>	% solids 100.0	Custody/SAF No	RC-030-031 RC-030

ANALYTE	DUPLICATE pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	original pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	RPD	3 o TOT	DER σ
Gross Alpha	7.36	3.6	4.0	10		93A	6.39	3.2	3.4		14	113	0.4
Gross Beta	13,6	4.3	5.7	·15		938	14.6	4.0	5.5		7	70	0.3
Total Uranium (ug/g)	0.872	0.10	0.019	1.0		υ_ <b>τ</b>	0.870	0.10	0.019		0	31	0
Potassium 40	8.23	1.2	0.72			GAM	9.26	1.4	0.93		12	45	0.8
Cobalt 60	U		0.059	0.050	U	GAM	υ		0.093	ซ	-		0.6
Cesium 137	σ		0.18	0.10	ט	GAM	υ		0.091	<b>ט</b>	_		0.9
Radium 226	0.388	0.11	0.11	0.10		GAM	0.413	0.19	0.15		6	88	0.2
Radium 228	0.400	0.34	0.34	0.20		GAM	0.729	0.39	0.35		58	141	1.2
Europium 152	Ū		0.17	0.10	υ,	GAM	Ū		0.23	υ	_		0.4
Europium 154	Ū		0.19	0.10	U	GAM	ū		0.31	ט	-		0.7
Europium 155	Ū		0.14	0.10	υ	GAM	U		0.18	υ	-		0.3
Thorium 228	0.538	0.10	0.11			GAM	0.550	0.11	0.11		2	52	0.1
Thorium 232	0.400	0.34	0.34		÷	GAM	0.729	0.39	0.35		58	141	1.2
Uranium 235	. 0		0.20		ប	GAM	U		0.28	ט	_		0.5
Uranium 238	Ü		6.5		ט	GAM	. _U		10	υ	_		0.6
Americium 241	ŭ		0.23		Ū	GAM	υ		0.16	Ū			0.5

Remain.Sites Confirm.Samp. - O.Solid

QC-DUP#1 55091

DUPLICATES
Page 1
UNMARY DATA SECTION
Page 9